

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIR MATION NO APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR NIP-275 78 07/18/2002 Hiroshi Inoue 10/088,114 **EXAMINER** 08/02/2004 24956 MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C. FREAY, CHARLES GRANT 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 370** ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 3746

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/088,114	INOUE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	Charles G Freay	3746	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper replich places the application	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	:	
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>6</u> would be a canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separ	rate, timely filed am	endment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	^		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 6.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,12,13,15 and 16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		\$ 4 4 	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		7	
10. Other:		Charles & Freay Primary Examine	
		Art Unit: 3746	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/088,114

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the limitation of the swirl being along an axial direction of the premixing flow passage or the flow being "along and around each of the nozzles would require further consideration.